Investigating Poultry Trade Patterns To Improve Disease Surveillance: A Cross-sectional Study In Gujarat

Sequeira S¹²; Paleja H⁴; Koringa P⁴; Lorraine C³; Golaviya A⁴; Panchal K⁴; Hinsu A⁴; Gohil B⁵; Damer B³; Tomley F³; Pfeiffer D¹³;

Fournié G³; Conan A¹

¹ Centre for Applied One Health Research and Policy Advice, JCC Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences, CityU, Hong-Kong SAR, PR China

² Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal , ³ Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, United Kingdom

⁴ Anand Agricultural University, Anand, India, ⁵ Gujarat Biotechnology Research Centre, Gandhinagar, India

Paleja H⁴:hpaleja@yahoo.com



Contraction of the second state of the seco

Key message

Building and analyzing the network of poultry movements to identify high-risk premises and offer new insights on disease transmission dynamics, making it possible to develop more effective strategies for disease control.

Introduction

- Live bird trade is known to promote the spread of zoonotic pathogens. Although live bird shops (LBSs) are ubiquitous in India, poultry trading practices and their potential impact on disease risk are poorly understood.
- The transport of chickens from farms to shops typically involves one (85.3%) or two intermediaries (10.3%).



- There are several pathogens that can have a great impact in poultry production systems with consequences on animal and/or human health.
- A risk-based surveillance can be a more effective approach if there is ready access to information about the population and distribution of risk factors.

Objectives:

- Characterize LBS based on trading practices likely to increase infectious disease risk.
- Assess the connectivity between shops through the trade of live poultry.

Each city obtained chickens from one to four districts (out of the 33 districts of Gujarat), four districts supplied more than one city.

Shop's management and trading practices were identified as having a possible influence on pathogen introduction into LBSs (Release or introduction assessment) or transmission within LBSs, either to other birds (Animal exposure assessment) or to humans (Human exposure assessment).



Methods

- A cross-sectional study was conducted in LBSs of Gujarat, selected through multi-stage cluster sampling.
- 8 cities were purposively selected in Gujarat
- A total of 86 Shops were identified in selected cities of Gujarat (random spatial sampling)
- Data was collected in each shop regarding its characteristics, trading practices and supplying locations.

Results

 Analysis suggests a high heterogeneity in the scale of operations in LBSs.

Table 1. Features of the live bird shops in Gujarat(n=86)

Characteristics	N (%)	Characteristics	Median (min-max)
Length of time (days) open		Average number of workers	2 (1-11)
Everyday	85 (98.8%)	Average no. of sales	
Closes on Sundays	1 (1.2%)	Exotic broiler chickens sold	30 (5.5-800)
Types of Shop		Desi chickens sold	3.5 (0-30)
Exotic Broiler	25 (29.1 %)	Surplus management	
Desi	14 (16.3%)	Proportion of unsold EB chickens	0.21 (0.02-0.45)
Both species	47 (54.7%)	Proportion of unsold Desi chickens	0.44 (0-0.92)
No. of animal species sold		No. of days receiving supplies	7 (0-7)/ 3 (0-7)
1 poultry species	34 (39.6%)	Origin of poultry	
2-3 different poultry species	37 (43.0%)	Other LBSs	0(0-2)/0(0-2)
Several animal species	15 (17.4%)	Farmers	0 (0-2) / 0 (0-1)
Storage overnight		Brokers	0 (0-3) / 0 (0-1)
At the shop	81 (94.2%)	Other vendors	1 (0-7)/1(0-3)
At the vendors	5 (5.8%)	Total no. of suppliers	1(0-7/1(0-3)
		Length of time between supply and open time (hours)	12 (2-17)

Figure: 2 Variables linked to the release pathways of a pathogen into the LBSs



Figure: 3 Variables linked to the exposure pathways for a pathogen within LBSs (Legend: continuous line – Animal exposure; intermittent dashed lines - Human exposure; dashed lines – Animal and Human exposure)

Discussion and conclusions

- The present practices may promote pathogen amplification and persistence within LBSs in Gujarat.
- Network shaped by poultry movements connect distant poultry populations, increasing the risk of pathogen spread in the region.
- One of the next steps include Investigation of risk pathways for disease transmission and their geographical/socio-economical determinants.



The GCRF One Health Poultry Hub is funded by United Kingdom Research and Innovation (UKRI) under the Global Challenges Research Fund.



